Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/928,466	SEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>under non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Lakshmi S. Channavaijala</u> .	(3)	
(2) Janet I. Cord.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 March 2005</u>	Time: <u>9.30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
4, 42, 46 and 51		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
lande -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed attorney that instant claims are allowable, however claims 4 raises a 35 USC 112 rejection based on lack of antecedent basis and claims 42, 46 and 51 have minor typographical errors. Attorney authorized examiner to cancel claim 4 and correct the typographical errors by examiner's amendment.

Tely